

1 Stephen J. Kottmeier (State Bar No. 077060)
2 Michaeline H. Correa (State Bar No. 215215)

3 HOPKINS & CARLEY

4 A Law Corporation

5 The Letitia Building

6 70 South First Street

7 San Jose, California 95113-2406

8 *mailing address:*

9 P.O. Box 1469

10 San Jose, CA 95109-1469

11 Telephone: (408) 286-9800

12 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790

13 Attorneys for Secured Creditor

14 BRIDGE BANK

9 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 In re

Case No. 11-43327

13 A.G. FERRARI FOODS

Chapter 11

14 Debtor.

**CONDITIONAL OBJECTION TO
MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING
POST-PETITION FINANCING,
GRANTING SECURITY INTERESTS
AND SUPERPRIORITY CLAIMS, AND
PROVIDING ADEQUATE PROTECTION
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§105, 361 &
364(C)**

15 Hearing

16 Date: August 19, 2011

17 Time: 11:30 a.m.

18 Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court

1300 Clay Street

Oakland, CA

Courtroom 201

Judge: Hon. Edward Jellen

19 **INTRODUCTION**

20 Creditor Bridge Bank, N.A. ("Bridge Bank") hereby files this conditional objection to
21 the motion of debtor and debtor-in-possession A.G. Ferrari Foods ("Debtor") for order
22 authorizing, among other things, post-petition financing (the "Motion") on the ground that the

1 relief requested in the Motion should not be granted or effective unless and until proposed
2 lender, Renovo Capital, LLC, executes a subordination agreement to affirm that it is or will be
3 in a subordinate position to Bridge Bank.

4 **BASIS FOR CONDITIONAL OBJECTION**

5 Bridge Bank is the Debtor's primary secured creditor, holding a senior secured claim in
6 excess of \$100,000. As set forth in the Motion, the Debtor and Renovo have executed a term
7 sheet by which the Debtor intends to sell its assets to Renovo for cash and assumption of certain
8 liabilities by Renovo. To fund its post-petition business operations and administrative expenses
9 pending the completion of the sale of its assets, the Debtor is seeking to borrow up to \$353,000
10 from Renovo on a superpriority basis. Although the Motion describes that Bridge Bank shall
11 retain its secured priority status as a result of its existing lien(s) (see Motion, 4:19 – 5: 8),
12 Bridge Bank believes that its priority position should further be preserved and protected by
13 requiring Renovo to execute a standard form of subordination agreement prior to or at the
14 closing of the financing transaction, as is typically done in senior lending transactions such as
15 this one here.

16 **CONCLUSION**

17 Based upon the foregoing, Bridge Bank respectfully requests that this Court condition
18 the approval of the post-petition financing Motion on Renovo's execution of a typical form of
19 subordination agreement in favor of Bridge Bank. Absent Renovo's execution of a
20 subordination agreement, the Motion should be denied.

21 Dated: August 17, 2011

HOPKINS & CARLEY,
A Law Corporation

24 By: /s/ Michaeline H. Correa
Stephen J. Kottmeier
Michaeline H. Correa
Attorneys for Secured Creditor
Bridge Bank